

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,927	04/10/2001	Francis Luc Mathilda Arts	Q63668	6654
7590 10/03/2006			EXAMINER	
	MION, ZINN, MACPE	HO, CHUONG T		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
wasnington, L	Washington, DC 20037-3213			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2006.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) are subjected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subjected to.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2001 is/are: a)    accepted or b)    objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the manerium statutory period will apply and will expire SSV (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the manerium statutory period will expire SSV (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the manerium statutory period will expire SSV (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the manerium statutory period will expire SSV (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the manerium statutory period will expire SSV (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the manerium statutory period will expire SSV (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  **I NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing d	Office Action Commons	09/828,927	ARTS ET AL.				
— The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edentions of time ray be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 13(8), in no event, horner, may netry be timefuly find the series of 37 CFR 13(8), in no event, horner, may netry be timefuly find the series of the series of 37 CFR 13(4).  If NO period for reply is operfied above, the maintaine studious period will apply and will explay SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Feature to reply which the set or relating denied for 19th is platable, cause the application for mailing date of this communication, and the series of the communication, even if smally find, may reduce any search problem of the communication of the communication, even if smally find, may reduce any search problem.  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are projected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) field on 10 April 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) field on 10 April 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) field on	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - and set six (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If 1989, in no event, however, may a regip to timely find a set of the communication or the six (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If 1980 period is the six (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If 1980 period is the six (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If 1980 period is the six (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If 1980 period is the set of the six (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If 1980 period is the communication is explained by the set of the six (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication will be set of the six (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If 1980 period is the set of the set of the six (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication will be set of the							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elemelinar of time rayb a available under the provided and 57 ER1 118(6), hin oe with, however, may a reply be limely find after 50 Kg) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failus to report with the set or cried of the communication of the provided will apply and will apply all will apply and and and apply and and apply and and apply apply and apply apply and apply and apply and apply appl		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing</li> </ul>	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Diretsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6 □ Other:	Status						
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5   Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-12 is/are rejected. 7   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-12 is/are rejected. 7   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4   Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4   Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 5   Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 6   Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 7   Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 8   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of: 1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s) 1   Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3   Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)Mail Date   Paper No(s)Mail	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Au	<u>ıgust 2006</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 10 Apnil 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Notice of Informal Patent Application  6)  Other:	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	•				
Al) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8] □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8] □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a),  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Aktachment(s)  11 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  12 □ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  31 □ Information Discosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2001 is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Discosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 9) Other: 9) Other: 9) Other:	closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Disposition of Claims						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) ☐ Other:	Application Papers						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the care Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6) Other:	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	Attachment(s)						
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Application/Control Number: 09/828,927 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

1. The amendment filed 08/28/06 have been entered and made of record.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

3. Claims 1-2, 4-12, are pending.

## Drawings

4. The drawings (filed 01/04/06) are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because all boxes in the figure 1 should be labeled descriptive legend. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required Art Unit: 2616

corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hino (U.S.Patent No. 6,172,976 B1) in view of Svennevik et al. (U.S.Patent No. 6,108,705).

In the claim 1, Hino discloses the present invention relates to a telecommunications service control unit within a telecommunications switching network and method of operation of the telecommunications service control unit, and more particularly, to controlling a call processing between a call originating terminal and called terminal including switching operation (see col. 1, lines 7-11); FIG.8, is block diagram shows functional configuration and an operating environment, wherein communication services are implemented across a plurality of service controller (see col. 5, lines 9-12); comprising:

A first service control module (figure 8, service implementation device 252) for issuing a first service request (see col. 7, lines 8-12, service requests) containing information regarding a requested services.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,927

Art Unit: 2616

A first connection control module (figure 8, "711, 731, 721, 262, 222") having a first service interface receiving said first service request message from first service control module (service implementation device) and for sending a first link request message, and having a first physical device interface module responsive to first link request message for establishing connection to a first physical device (see figure 8, col. 24, lines 1-12, lines 41-50).

A second connection control module (figure 8, service implementation devices 253, 254) for issuing a second service request message containing information regarding a requesting service (see col. 7, lines 8-12, service requests) containing information regarding a requested service.

A second connection control module (figure 8, "712, 732, 722, 263, 223"; "713, 733, 723, 264, 224") having a second service interface receiving said second service request from said second service control module and for sending a second link request message, and having a second physical device interface module responsive to said second link request message for establishing connection to a second physical device (see figure 8, col. 24, lines 1-12, lines 41-50).

A communication channel between said first and second connection control modules ("711, 731, 721, 262, 222"; "712, 732, 722, 263, 223"; "713, 733, 723, 264, 224") .

However, Hino is silent to disclosing a communication channel between said fist and second connection control modules can send to the other of connection control

Page 5

modules a link request message indicating that a connection is to be made between said first and second physical devices.

Svennevik et al. disclose a communication channel (connection handling 320) between said fist and second connection control modules can send to the other of connection control modules a link request message indicating that a connection is to be made between said first and second physical devices (see figure 10, 11A, 11B, col.9, lines 35-55).

Both Hino, and Svennevik disclose call setup. Svennevik recognizes a communication channel between said fist and second connection control modules can send to the other of connection control modules a link request message indicating that a connection is to be made between said first and second physical devices. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Hino with the teaching of Vvennevik to provide a communication channel between said first and second connection control modules can send to the other of connection control modules a link request message indicating that a connection is to be made between said first and second physical devices in order to add or remove the implemented services.

6. In the claim 2, Hino discloses wherein said first connection control module ("711, 731, 721, 262, 222"; "712, 732, 722, 263, 223"; "713, 733, 723, 264, 224") is further adapted to communicate with at least one other service control module (252, 253, 254) of switching node (see col. 25, lines 37-39).

Application/Control Number: 09/828,927

Art Unit: 2616

7. In the claim 4, Hino discloses said service request message indicates that at least one of a predetermined type of physical device drivers is needed for establishing a connection pertaining to a call, said first service interface generates said first device interface (262, 263, 264) in response to said first service request message (see col. 14, lines 25-40, figure 8, col. 24, lines 1-10, lines 45-55).

Page 6

- 8. In the claim 5, Hino discloses physical device interface module (262, 263, 264) is further adapted to transmit to an associated resource manager module (741), associated resource manager module (RM) being adapted to select from a plurality of physical device driver (see col. 14, lines 25-40) corresponding to said first physical device from a plurality of physical device drivers (see col. 14, lines 25-40) of said predetermined type and include in or coupled to said switching node based upon said resource request message (see col. 25, lines 15-21).
- 9. In the claim 6, Hino discloses first physical device interface handler (262, 263, 264) is further adapted to active associated physical device driver (see col. 14, lines 25-40), and to confirm activation to service interface handler (731, 732, 733).
- 10. In the claim 7, Hino discloses first service interface handler (731, 732, 733) is further adapted to confirm operation to first service control module (252, 253, 254) (see figure 8, col. 24, lines 1-10, lines 45-55).
- 11. In the claim 8, Hino discloses in case said result of analysis of service request message indicates that a physical device driver of switching node is to be removed from existing call connection (see col. 14, lines 45-67), first deleting and existing physical device interface handler module (262, 263, 264) associated to physical device driver

Application/Control Number: 09/828,927

Art Unit: 2616

(see col. 14, lines 25-40) and included within connection control module (701, 702, 703).

Page 7

- 12. In the claim 9, Hino discloses first service request message (see col. 25, lines 15-22) indicates that the operation of a physical device driver (see col. 14, lines 25-40) of switching node is to be modified (deleting or removed) service interface initiating a state change within an existing physical device interface handler (262, 263, 264) associated to physical device driver (see col. 14, lines 25-40) and included within connection control module ("711, 731, 721, 262, 222"; "712, 732, 722, 263, 223"; "713, 733, 723, 264, 224").
- 13. In the claim 10, Hino discloses first service request message indicates that at least one other connection control module is involved, service interface handler (731, 732, 733) is further adapted to communicate to a first service interface handler (731, 732, 733) communicates with said second connection control module ("711, 731, 721, 262, 222"; "712, 732, 722, 263, 223"; "713, 733, 723, 264, 224") (see col. 25, lines 15-21).
- 14. In the claim 11, Hino discloses upon communication with second service interface handler (731, 732, 733) of at least one other connection control module (701, 702, 703), service interface module (731, 732, 733) is further adapted to communicate to a physical device interface module (262, 263, 264) referred to in said first service request message and included in connection control module ("711, 731, 721, 262, 222"; "712, 732, 722, 263, 223"; "713, 733, 723, 264, 224").

15. In the claim 12, Hino discloses physical device interface module(262, 263, 264) referred to in service request message is further adapted to communicate with a second physical device interface (264, 262, 263) referred to in first service request message and included in said second connection control module ("711, 731, 721, 262, 222"; "712, 732, 722, 263, 223"; "713, 733, 723, 264, 224") (see col. 24, lines 1-10, lines 45-55).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHUONG T. HO whose telephone number is (571) 272-3133. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 9

09/30/06